1	
2	
3	
4	Councilmember Kathy Patterson
5	
6	
7	A DW I
8	A BILL
9	
10	
11	IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
12	IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
13	
14 15	
15 16	Councilmember Kathy Patterson introduced the following bill, which was referred to the
17	Committee on
18	Committee on
19	To authorize the limited use of video surveillance for specific law enforcement, security and traffic
20	management purposes by the Mayor; require public notice before a camera is deployed;
21	require a court order for certain uses of video surveillance; create procedures for obtaining
22	a court order for the use of video surveillance; authorize the Mayor to conduct a pilot
23	project for the purpose of evaluating the effectiveness of the use of video surveillance in
24	preventing, detecting, deterring or investigating crime in District of Columbia
24 25	neighborhoods; authorize the Mayor to enter into agreements with other jurisdictions to
26	use their video feeds; require the promulgation of administrative regulations by District of
27	Columbia government agencies regulating the use of video surveillance; require regular
28	public reporting by the Mayor to the Council and the public on the government's use of
29	video surveillance; require the District of Columbia Auditor to conduct a biennial audit on
30	the use of video surveillance by the District of Columbia government; and to provide for
31	penalties for violation of the act.
32	
33	BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this
34	act may be cited as the "Limited Authorization of Video Surveillance and Privacy Protection Act
35	of 2002".
36	Sec. 2. Definitions.
37	For the purposes of this act, the term:
38	(1) "Biometric technology or software" means an automated method of

- 1 recognizing an individual based on physiological or behavioral characteristics, including an
- 2 individual's face, fingerprints, hand geometry, handwriting, iris, retina, vein, and voice.
- (2) "Covert surveillance" means observation intended to be concealed from any
 subject of the observation.
- 5 (3) "Deploy" means to install or place a video surveillance camera in a particular, 6 fixed location.
 - (4) "Exigent circumstances" means unanticipated, temporary situations that threaten the immediate safety of individuals or property within the District of Columbia.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- (5) "Legitimate law enforcement objective" means the detection, investigation, deterrence or prevention of crime, or apprehension and prosecution of a suspected criminal. An action by a law enforcement officer is "reasonably likely to achieve a legitimate law enforcement objective" if there are articulable reasons for concluding that one of these objectives may be met by taking the action.
- (6) "Part 1 crime" means a homicide, sexual assault, robbery, assault with a deadly weapon, burglary, theft, stolen auto or arson.
- (7) "Private" means an activity, condition, or location when the area where it occurs or exists or other relevant considerations afford it a constitutionally protected reasonable expectation of privacy.
- (8) "Public notice" shall at a minimum include publication in the D.C. Register, posting on the Metropolitan Police Department website, written notice to the Council, written notice to the relevant Advisory Neighborhood Commission, and issuance of a press release.
- 22 (9) "Telescopic" means the capability to make visible details not naturally visible to

1	the naked eye because of distance.
2	(10) "Video surveillance" means use of a lawfully positioned camera as a means of
3	viewing or recording activities or conditions other than those occurring within the sight or
4	immediate vicinity of a government official or agent thereof who is aware of such use.
5	Sec. 3. Authorization to use video surveillance.
6	The Mayor is authorized, subject to the limitations contained in this act, to conduct video
7	surveillance for specified law enforcement, security and traffic management purposes limited to:
8	(a) Temporary management of public resources during major events that have the
9	potential to threaten the safety and well being of District of Columbia residents, visitors and
10	property;
11	(b) Temporary management of the flow of traffic into, through and out of the
12	District of Columbia during times of heightened traffic congestion;
13	(c) Temporary covert use as part of the investigation of a crime;
14	(d) Monitoring areas within the interior and exterior of government buildings
15	where there is no reasonable expectation of privacy for the purpose of maintaining the security of
16	those areas;
17	(e) Temporary use as part of an effort to prevent, detect, and investigate crime in
18	neighborhoods pursuant to section 11.
19	Sec. 4. Public Notice.
20	(a) Before a camera is deployed to conduct video surveillance for the purposes described
21	in section 3(a), (b), and (e), the Mayor shall
22	(1) Provide public notice of his or her intention to deploy a camera;

1	(2) Provid	de public notice of the general capabilities of the camera being deployed,
2	its use, its location	on, and the planned duration of the deployment;
3	(3) Allow	the public 30 days to submit comments to the Mayor regarding the
4	proposed deploy	ment of a camera;
5	(4) Post s	ignage in the vicinity of the camera alerting the public of the deployment
6	of the camera. T	he signage shall be within 30 feet of the camera and shall:
7	(i)	Inform the public that a camera is in operation;
8	(ii)	Allow people entering the area to make a reasonable approximation of
9		the area covered by the camera; and
10	(iii)	Identify the District of Columbia government as the owner of the
11		camera and give a telephone number and address should the public
12		require further information or wish to provide an opinion or complaint
13		about the camera.
14	(b) When a came	ra is deployed to conduct video surveillance for the purposes described in
15	section 3 (c), the Mayor	shall, after the related investigation is completed, report the location,
16	duration and purpose of	the deployment as part of the report referenced in section 15 of this act.
17	(c) When a court	order is obtained pursuant to sections 7, 8 and 9 to conduct video
18	surveillance, the Mayor s	shall, after the related investigation is completed, report the location,
19	duration and purpose of	the video surveillance as part of the report referenced in section 15.
20	(d) Within 30 day	ys of the effective date of this act, the Mayor shall provide the
21	information required in s	section 4(a)(2), and shall post signage pursuant to section 4(a)(4), for
22	video surveillance camer	ras already deployed as of the effective date of this act.

- 1 Sec. 5. Use of video surveillance for law enforcement investigation purposes.
- 2 (a) The Mayor is authorized to use covert video surveillance of public places as part of a
- 3 law enforcement investigation, exempt from the requirements of section (4)(a) of this act, when a
- 4 supervisory law enforcement official concludes that the surveillance will be reasonably likely to
- 5 achieve a legitimate law enforcement objective.
- 6 (b) Use of video surveillance pursuant to this section shall be the subject of Metropolitan
- 7 Police Department general orders.
- 8 Sec. 6. Uses of video surveillance for which a court order is required.
- 9 The Mayor is authorized to use the following forms of video surveillance only after
- obtaining a court order pursuant to the procedures in sections 7, 8 and 9:
- 11 (a) Video surveillance technology with audio capability;
- 12 (b) Video surveillance for which the viewing area includes or intrudes into private space
- where there is a reasonable expectation of privacy, including the interior of a residence or
- 14 commercial building; and
- 15 (c) Video surveillance technology that has telescopic zoom capability that is of such a high
- magnification that it enables the facial identification of the individuals being videotaped.
- 17 Sec. 7. Applications for authorization or approval of videotape surveillance.
- 18 (a) The United States attorney may authorize, in writing, any investigative or law
- 19 enforcement officer to make application to a court for an order authorizing the use of videotape
- 20 surveillance.
- 21 (b) The United States attorney may authorize, in writing, any investigative or law
- 22 enforcement officer to make application to a court for an order of approval of the previous use of

1	videotape surveillance, when the contents of such videotape:
2	(1) Relate to an offense other than that specified in an order of authorization;
3	(2) Were videotaped in an emergency situation; or
4	(3) Were videotaped in an emergency situation and relate to an offense other than
5	that contemplated at the time the videotape was made.
6	(c) An application for an order of authorization (as provided in subsection (a) of this
7	section) or of approval (as provided in paragraph (2) of subsection (b) of this section) may be
8	authorized only when the videotape may provide or has provided evidence of the commission of
9	or a conspiracy to commit any of the following offenses:
10	(1) Act of terrorism;
11	(2) Manufacture or possession of a weapon of mass destruction;
12	(3) Arson;
13	(4) Assault with intent to kill;
14	(5) Assault with a deadly weapon;
15	(6) Burglary;
16	(7) Destruction of property of value in excess of \$200;
17	(8) Gambling;
18	(9) Kidnapping;
19	(10) Murder;
20	(11) Felony rioting;
21	(12) Robbery;
22	(13) Bribery;

1	(14) Stolen auto;
2	(15) Threats; and
3	(16) Felony narcotics distribution, possession with intent to distribute and
4	manufacture offenses pursuant to D.C. Official Code §§ 48-904.01(a)(1), 48-
5	904.03a, and 48-904.07.
6	Sec. 8. Procedure for authorization or approval of videotape surveillance.
7	(a) Each application for an order authorizing or approving the use of videotape
8	surveillance shall be made in writing, or by telephone or other appropriate means, including
9	facsmile transmissions or other electronic communications, upon oath or affirmation to a judge
10	and shall state the applicant's authority to make the application. Each application shall include:
11	(1) The identity of the investigative or law enforcement officer making the
12	application, and the officer authorizing the application;
13	(2) A full and complete statement of the facts and circumstances relied upon by the
14	applicant to justify his belief that an order should be issued, including:
15	(A) Details as to the particular offense that has been, is being, or is about
16	to be committed;
17	(B) A particular description of the nature and location of the facilities from
18	which or the place where the videotaping is occurring;
19	(C) A particular description of the person or type of activity the videotape
20	surveillance captured or is expected to capture;
21	(D) The identity of the person, if known, who committed, is committing, or
22	is about to commit the offense and whose activities are to be or were videotaped;

	(3) A full and complete statement as to whether or not other investigative
procedures hav	we been tried and failed or why they reasonably appear or appeared to be unlikely to
succeed if tried	d or to be too dangerous;

- (4) A statement of the period of time for which the videotape surveillance is or was required to be maintained, and if the nature of the investigation is or was such that the authorization for videotape surveillance should not automatically terminate or should not have automatically terminated when the described type of activity has been or was first captured, a particular description of facts establishing probable cause to believe that additional activity of the same type will or would occur thereafter;
- (5) A full and complete statement of the facts concerning all previous applications, known to the individual authorizing or making the application, made to any judge for authorization to videotape, or for approval of videotape surveillance involving any of the same persons, facilities, or places specified in the application, and the action taken by the judge on each such application; and
- (6) Where the application is for the extension of an order, a statement setting forth the results thus far obtained from the videotape surveillance, or a reasonable explanation of the failure to obtain results.
- (b) The judge may require the applicant to furnish additional testimony or documentary evidence in support of the application.
- (c) Upon application the judge may enter an ex parte order, as requested or as modified, authorizing or approving videotape surveillance within the District of Columbia, if the judge determines on the basis of the facts submitted by the applicant that:

1	(1) There is or was probable cause for belief that the person whose activity is to be
2	or was captured is or was committing, has committed, or is about to commit a particular offense
3	enumerated in section 7;
4	(2) There is or was probable cause for belief that particular activities relating to
5	that offense will or would be captured through the videotape surveillance; and
6	(3) Normal investigative procedures have or would have been tried and have or
7	had failed or reasonably appear or appeared to be unlikely to succeed if tried or to be too
8	dangerous.
9	(e) Each order authorizing or approving the use of videotape surveillance shall specify:
10	(1) The identity of the person, if known, or otherwise a particular description of
11	the person, if known, whose activities are to be or were videotaped;
12	(2) The nature and location of the videotape surveillance;
13	(3) A particular description of the person or type of activity sought to be or which
14	was videotaped, and a statement of the particular offense to which it relates;
15	(4) The identity of the agency authorized to conduct the videotape surveillance, or
16	whose conduct of the surveillance is approved, and of the person authorizing the application; and
17	(5) The period of time during or for which the videotape surveillance is authorized
18	or approved, including a statement as to whether or not the videotape surveillance shall
19	automatically terminate when the described activity has been first obtained.
20	(f) No order entered under this section may authorize or approve the use of videotape
21	surveillance for any period longer than is necessary to achieve the objective of the authorization,
22	nor in any event longer than 30 days. Extensions of an order may be granted, but only upon

- 1 application for an extension made in accordance with subsection (a) of this section and the court
- 2 making the findings required by subsection (c) of this section. The period of extension shall be no
- 3 longer than the authorizing judge deems necessary to achieve the purposes for which it was
- 4 granted and in no event for longer than 30 days. Every order and extension thereof shall contain a
- 5 provision that the authorization to intercept shall be executed as soon as practicable, shall be
- 6 conducted in such a way as to minimize or eliminate the videotaping of persons or activities not
- 7 otherwise subject to videotape surveillance under this subchapter, and must terminate upon
- 8 attainment of the authorized objective, or in any event in 30 days.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- (g) Whenever an order authorizing the use of videotape surveillance is entered pursuant to this subchapter, the order may require reports to be made to the judge who issued the order showing what progress has been made toward achievement of the authorized objective and the need for continued videotape surveillance. Reports shall be made at such intervals as the judge may require.
- Sec. 9. Additional procedure for approval of videotape surveillance.
 - (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this subchapter, any investigative or law enforcement officer, specially designated by the United States Attorney for the District of Columbia, who reasonably determines that:
 - (1) An emergency situation exists that requires the use of videotape surveillance before an order authorizing the videotape surveillance can with due diligence be obtained; and
 - (2) There are grounds upon which an order could be entered under this subchapter to authorize the videotape surveillance, may use videotape surveillance if an application for an order approving the videotape surveillance is initiated in accordance with this section within 12

- 1 hours and is completed within 72 hours after the videotape surveillance has occurred, or begins to
- 2 occur. In the absence of an order, the videotape surveillance shall immediately terminate when
- 3 the person or activity sought is captured or when the application for the order is denied,
- 4 whichever is earlier. In the event the application for approval is denied, or in any other case
- 5 where the videotape surveillance is terminated without an order having been issued, the contents
- 6 of any videotape surveillance shall be treated as having been obtained in violation of this
- 7 subchapter.
- 8 (b) When an investigative or law enforcement officer, while conducting videotape
- 9 surveillance in the manner authorized by this subchapter, videotapes persons or activities relating
- either to offenses other than those specified in the order of authorization or to offenses other than
- those offenses for which videotape surveillance was made pursuant to subsection (a) of this
- section, he shall make an application to a judge as soon as practicable for approval for disclosure
- and use, in accordance with sections 10(g) and 10(h) of the persons and activities videotaped.
- Sec. 10. Recording of video surveillance and maintenance and disposition of records.
- 15 (a) The Mayor is authorized to record video surveillance only when there is specific
- written approval of the director of the agency conducting the recorded video surveillance, and
- only when there is reason to believe that a crime or dangerous incident will occur which the
- 18 recording will help to investigate or review.
 - (b) Recordings shall be maintained for 10 business days after which time they will be
- 20 recorded over or destroyed.

- 21 (c) Recordings may be maintained beyond 10 business days if the recordings contain
- 22 evidence of criminal activity or capture an occurrence that may subject the District of Columbia to

- 1 civil liability. Recordings that contain evidence of criminal activity or recordings that capture an
- 2 occurrence that may subject the District of Columbia government to civil liability shall be
- 3 maintained until final case disposition.
- 4 (d) Agency directors must provide, in writing, any decision to retain any recording beyond
- 5 10 business days. Decisions to retain recordings beyond 10 business days must include the
- 6 purpose of the retention, the nature of the recording, and the length of time for the retention.
- 7 (e) Every recording made pursuant to subsection (a) shall be documented. The
- 8 documentation shall include a copy of written authorizations pertaining to each period of
- 9 recording, the name(s) of any person(s) recording, a general description of the activity being
- recorded, documentation as to when the recording began and ended, and documentation of any
- 11 data obtained.
- 12 (f) Every recording shall be maintained and secured by a supervisor designated by the
- 13 relevant agency director. Appropriate security measures shall be taken against unauthorized
- access to, alteration, disclosure, accidental loss or destruction of recordings.
- 15 (g) Access to and use of the recordings shall only take place:
- 16 (1) In compliance with the needs of law enforcement in connection with the
- investigation of a crime;
- 18 (2) If necessary for the purposes of legal proceedings.
- 19 (h) The showing of recordings to the public will be allowed only in accordance with the
- 20 needs of law enforcement in connection with the investigation of a crime.
- 21 (i) Recordings shall not be used to identify, track, or catalogue individuals or any
- characteristics of individuals who are not suspected of criminal activity or considered to be a

- 1 threat to public safety. For the purposes of this subsection, the terms "identify," "track," and
- 2 "catalogue" shall not mean a brief, inadvertent appearance in the view of a video surveillance
- 3 camera.

- 4 Sec. 11. Pilot project.
 - (a) The Mayor is authorized to conduct a temporary pilot project for the purpose of evaluating the effectiveness of the use of video surveillance in preventing, detecting, deterring or investigating crime in neighborhoods in the District of Columbia.
 - (b) The Mayor may deploy video surveillance cameras, for a period not to exceed one-year, in a specific area within two neighborhoods in the District of Columbia, pursuant to the public notice requirements contained in section 4 of this act, and only in areas where there is a measure of public support for the cameras. "Public support" as used in this subsection shall include a vote in favor of the cameras by the affected Advisory Neighborhood Commission. The term "specific area" as used in this subsection may include a commercial corridor in a residential neighborhood or an area with a consistently relatively high rate of crime, as examples.
 - (c) After the conclusion of the one-year period, the Mayor shall evaluate the effectiveness of the use of video surveillance in preventing, detecting, or investigating crime in the specific areas by examining the following factors:
 - (1) The rate of Part 1 crime in the specific areas' Police Service Areas (PSAs) within the one-year evaluation period, compared with the rate of Part 1 crime in the same PSAs within the previous four years;
 - (2) The number of calls for service, including calls for service for public disorder, drug activity, and prostitution, in the specific areas' PSAs within the one-year evaluation

1	period, compared with the number of calls for service in the same PSAs within the
2	previous four years;
3	(3) The same information in paragraphs (1) and (2) in the PSAs surrounding the
4	specific areas, for the purpose of measuring any potential displacement of crime caused by
5	the deployment of the video surveillance cameras in the specific areas;
6	(4) General crime trends in the specific areas' PSAs, the PSAs surrounding the
7	specific areas, the specific areas' police districts, and the District of Columbia, within the
8	previous four years;
9	(5) The number of crimes in the specific areas detected and reported to the
10	Metropolitan Police Department because of the presence of the video surveillance
11	cameras.
12	(6) The number of investigations aided by the video surveillance cameras in the
13	specific areas within the one-year evaluation period.
14	(d) The results of the Mayor's evaluation of the pilot project shall be presented to the
15	Council in the form of a report.
16	Sec. 12. Agreements with other jurisdictions to use their video feeds.
17	(a) The Mayor is authorized to enter into agreements with:
18	(1) Public entities outside of the District of Columbia government; and
19	(2) Private entities;
20	to access their external video feeds for discreet, temporary periods and only in exigent
21	circumstances.
22	(b) The Mayor shall use video feeds from other entities only pursuant to this act.

- 1 Sec. 13. Illegal uses of video surveillance technology.
- 2 (a) The Mayor shall not use video surveillance technology for the purpose of denying,
- 3 inhibiting, or infringing upon, the First Amendment rights of residents of or visitors to the District
- 4 of Columbia.
- 5 (b) The Mayor shall not use video surveillance technology for the purpose of observing
- 6 individuals solely because of their race, color, religion, national origin, sex, age, marital status,
- 7 personal appearance, sexual orientation, familial status, family responsibilities, matriculation,
- 8 political affiliation, disability, source of income, place of residence or business or other
- 9 classifications protected by law.
- 10 (c) The Mayor shall not use video surveillance technology to identify, track, or catalogue
- individuals or any characteristics of individuals who are not suspected of criminal activity or
- 12 considered to be a threat to public safety. For the purposes of this subsection, the terms
- "identify," "track," and "catalogue" shall not mean a brief, inadvertent appearance in the view of a
- 14 video surveillance camera.
- 15 (d) The Mayor shall not use biometric technology or software in combination with any
- 16 government use of video surveillance without specific legislative authorization.
- 17 Sec. 14. Regulations
- 18 (a) The Mayor shall issue regulations consistent with this act governing the use of video
- surveillance by District agencies, including the Metropolitan Police Department, the Department
- of Transportation, and the Office of Property Management, pursuant to Title I of the District of
- Columbia Administrative Procedure Act, approved October 21, 1968 (82 Stat. 1204; D.C.
- Official Code § 2-501 et seq.). Each agency shall issue separate regulations specific to that

1	agency's purpose for conducting video surveinance.
2	(b) The Board of Education shall issue regulations consistent with this act governing the
3	use of video surveillance by the District of Columbia Public Schools.
4	Sec. 15. Public reporting.
5	The Mayor shall, on an annual basis, report to the Council and to the public the extent and
6	capability of video surveillance being conducted by the government of the District of Columbia.
7	This report shall be published in the D.C. Register and shall include the following information:
8	(1) Which District of Columbia government agencies are conducting video surveillance
9	and for what purposes;
10	(2) The extent and capability of video surveillance being conducted by District of
11	Columbia government agencies, including:
12	(i) How many cameras are being operated by each agency and any
13	technological enhancements that may be being used in
14	conjunction with the cameras;
15	(ii) Locations of cameras being used by each agency and their
16	periods of operation; and
17	(iii) Whether recording has occurred and the disposition of the
18	recordings.
19	Sec. 16. Biennial audit of the use of video surveillance.
20	The District of Columbia Auditor shall audit, on a biennial basis, the effectiveness and cost
21	of the District of Columbia government's use of video surveillance. This audit shall be
22	transmitted to the Mayor and Council in the form of a report, and a notice of its publication shall

- 1 be published in the D.C. Register. The report shall include the following information and
- 2 analysis:
- 3 (1) The cost of video surveillance being conducted by District of Columbia government
- 4 agencies, broken down by personal, non-personal and capital spending of each agency;
- 5 (2) Whether the original objectives of the use of video surveillance contained in section 3
- 6 of this act are being achieved;
- 7 (3) Whether video surveillance is being conducted by government agencies in accordance
- 8 with this act and with corresponding administrative regulations; and
- 9 (4) A summary of feedback received by District residents on the use of video surveillance
- 10 by District government agencies.
- 11 Sec. 17. Penalties.
- 12 Any District of Columbia government employee who violates this act shall be subject to
- appropriate disciplinary action including dismissal and shall be subject to a fine not to exceed
- 14 \$1,000.
- 15 Sec. 18. Sunset.
- Section 11 of this act shall expire two years after its effective date.
- 17 Sec. 19. Fiscal impact statement.
- The Council adopts the fiscal impact statement in the committee report as the fiscal impact
- statement required by section 602 (c)(3) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved
- 20 December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(3)).
- Sec. 20. Effective date.
- This act shall take effect following approval by the Mayor (or in the event of a veto by the

- 1 Mayor, action by the Council to override the veto), a 30-day period of Congressional review as
- 2 provided in section 602(c)(2) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December
- 3 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(2)), and publication in the District of
- 4 Columbia Register.